1 Appendix 1.

2

- 3 Round one of the Delphi study.
- 4 Dear respondent,
- 5 This questionnaire is about quality indicators for measuring and improving suicide prevention
- 6 in the mental health service. You have been sent this questionnaire because we would like to
- 7 ascertain your opinion of the suitability of various proposed indicators in day-to-day practice.
- 8 Your opinion and those of your fellow practitioners will enable a limited set of indicators to be
- 9 included in the SUPRANET (mental health care) programme.
- 10 The aim of SUPRANET (www.supranetggz.nl) is to optimize the quality of care for people
- with suicidal ideation. Introducing feasible, relevant and action oriented indicators that can
- improve suicide prevention in the mental health service is paramount in this connection.
- 13 Introduction
- 14 The quality indicators are indicative of the care provided and how it is organized. They are
- the 'knobs' that we need to turn in the expectation of producing better outcomes in our
- 16 case, fewer suicide attempts and fewer suicides on the part of patients being treated in
- 17 mental health facilities. A group of professionals have now drawn up a list of possible quality
- indicators. These have been checked and added to, based on the multidisciplinary guidelines
- 19 and the existing literature. The items on the list were then specifically formulated by the
- 20 SUPRANET Quality of Care Group so as to be measurable. A definition has been drawn up
- 21 for each indicator to make it clear precisely what it means.
- What we would like you to do
- We would like to invite you, in your capacity as a mental health professional, suicide expert
- and/or expert with experiences in suicidal behaviour, to be involved in the next step in this
- 25 development process, weighing up and prioritizing the selected indicators. This will provide
- us with a limited, supported set of indicators.
- 27 How the questionnaire works
- You are asked to rate each of the eleven indicators on a scale from 1 to 5 in terms of the
- 29 extent to which the indicator is relevant and action oriented in your opinion.
 - Relevance: How important is this indicator when it comes to preventing suicides or suicide attempts?
 - Action orientation: Could the facility or care provider directly improve anything in terms of the indicator and/or take action?
- 34 Using your input and that from some 75 fellow practitioners we shall prioritize the set of
- 35 provisional indicators. We shall also write an international article about this 'Delphi round'.
- We shall only report at group level (i.e. completely anonymously).
- 37 Thank you in advance for completing the questionnaire.

38

30

31

32

39 40	Na	me
11		
12		
13	De	mographic details
14	Bel	ow we ask a few general questions about yourself.
1 5	Ge	nder
1 6	0	Male
17	0	Female
18 19 50	Ho	w old are you?
	Г	
51 52	-	
3 4	الم	w long have you been working in mental health care?
		w long have you been working in mentar health care?
5 6		
7 8	Wh	at is the highest level of education you have completed?
9	0	Junior secondary vocational
0	0	Senior secondary vocational
1	0	Higher vocational
2	0	University
3		
4 5	lf a	pplicable: How many years of experience do you have as an experience-based
6		pert/member of the patient advisory board?
7		
7 8 9	Lar	m a member of the SUPRANET Quality of Care Group.
9		Yes
1	0	No No
2	•	I am involved with SUPRANET in some other way.
3		Tam involved with GOT TANET in Some other way.
4	_	ich of the following best describes your situation?
5	0	Working in the mental health service
6	0	Academic/researcher/psychiatrist/psychologist not working in the mental health service
7	0	Experience-based expert/member of the patient advisory board
8		

80	Questionnaire									
81 82 83 84	Eleven indicators are set out below. If the Quality of Care Group of SUPRA multidisciplinary guideline on suicidal the following criteria:	NET, the scienti	fic literatu	ire and the	Dutch	•				
85 86	 Relevance Action orientation 									
87	For each criterion you should select t	he appropriate r	ating on	a scale of	1 to 5.					
88	* Multidisciplinary guideline on suicidal behaviour (van Hemert et al., 201	12).							
89										
90	Indicator 1: Availability for eHealth for	cusing on suicid	ality							
91 92 93 94	Definition : The use of digital informat reatment with one or more treatment freely accessible to all patients in the care provided and improving patients	t aspects, focusi mental health s	ng specif ervice, w	ically on so ith the aim	uicidal idea of support	tion and				
95	Measurement method									
96 97 98 99	Numerator : The total number of patie were being treated (in an outpatient a collection period and had access to a ideation during that period.	and/or clinical se	etting) at a	any time du	uring the da	ata				
100 101	Denominator : The total number of particular outpatient and/or clinical setting) duri				reated (in	an				
102										
103	Your opinion:									
104	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant	at all to 5 = high	ıly relevar	nt						
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5			
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•			
105 106 107	The scale runs from 1 = not action or	iented at all to 5	i – hiahly	action orie	nted					
107	The scale rans from 1 = not action of	Do not	•			4	_			
		know	1	2	3	4	5			
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0			

109	Indicator 2: Active use of eHealth f	ocusing on suicida	lity				
110 111 112 113	Definition : The use of digital information treatment with one or more treatment freely accessible to all patients in the care provided and improving patients.	ent aspects, focusi he mental health s	ng specif ervice, w	ically on si	uicidal idea of support	tion and	
114	Measurement method						
115 116 117 118	Numerator : The total number of pa were being treated (in an outpatien collection period and had actively r suicidal ideation during that period.	it and/or clinical se made use of an eH	tting) at a	any time di	uring the d	ata	
119 120	Denominator : The total number of outpatient and/or clinical setting) de	-	•	•	treated (in	an	
121							
122							
123	Your opinion:						
124	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant	nt at all to 5 = high	ly releva	nt			
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•
125 126 127	The scale runs from 1 = not action		= highly	action orie	ented		
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0
128 129 130							
131							
132							
133							
134							
135							
136							
137							

138	indicator 3: Screening for suicid	ai thoughts and bena	viour							
139 140 141	Definition : All patients, during a and severity of suicidal thoughts		contact,	are asked*	about the	presence				
142 143 144 145	(* Examples of possible question suicidal thoughts during the past your reasons for living? How streparations?)	t month? If so, what a	are your i	reasons foi	dying and	l what are				
146	Measurement method									
147 148 149	Numerator : The number of indicollection period at which they we suicidal thoughts and behaviour	vere demonstrably as		•	_					
150 151	Denominator : The total number collection period.	r of treatment contact	s with all	patients d	uring the s	ame data				
152										
153										
154										
155	Your opinion:									
156	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant at all to 5 = highly relevant									
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5			
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•			
157										
158 159	The scale runs from 1 = not acti	on oriented at all to 5	= highly	action orie	nted					
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5			
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0			
160 161 162										

163	Indicator 4: Safety plan						
164							
165 166 167	Definition : A safety plan is an early w jointly by a patient and his or her care suicidal ideation.		•		•	•	
168	Measurement method						
169 170 171	Numerator : The total number of patie outpatient and/or clinical setting) a saf their medical records. A safety plan m	fety plan focusi	ng on sui	cidal ideati			
172 173 174 175 176 177	 The safety plan drawn up joint year old. The safety plan focuses on sui A third party is involved in the safety plan for a patient wupdated at the most recent treater. 	icidal ideation. safety plan. vho has suicida	al ideation	·			
178 179	Denominator : The total number of pa outpatient and/or clinical setting) during					an	
180							
181							
182	Your opinion:						
183	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant a	at all to 5 = high	ıly relevai	nt			
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•
184							
185 186	The scale runs from 1 = not action original	ented at all to 5	i = highly	action orie	ented		
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0
187 188							
189							
190							
191							

192	Indicator 5: Waiting time									
193	Definition based on the Supranet Care mi	inimum data	a set*							
194 195	For each patient who had his or her first to period, the length of time between registra		•	, .						
196 197 198	 T0 is the time of registration. T1 is the time of intake. T2 is the time of the first treatment 	t contact be	tween th	e patient ar	nd the ther	apist.				
199	Measurement method									
200 201 202	Numerator : The total number of patients collection period, with a maximum of two contact.***									
203 204	Denominator : The total number of patient same data collection period.	nts who had	their firs	treatment	contact du	ıring the				
205 206 207 208 209 210	* The minimum data set is the core records of Supranet Care. This data set contains variables that need to be collected in order to obtain a baseline for feedback. The current data set includes such things as sociodemographic details (gender, age, marital status), information on the care provided (treatment setting, treatment duration, principal diagnosis), organizational parameters (total length of stay, total number of days at the facility with/without overnight stay, number of psychiatric beds), and the number of suicides and suicide attempts.									
211 212	** Treatment of patients suspected of mental incapacity must always start on the day they are registered.									
213	*** Calendar day of both registration and first	treatment co	ntact kno	wn.						
214										
215	Your opinion:									
216	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant at all	I to 5 = high	ly releva	nt						
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5			
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•			
217 218 219	The scale runs from 1 = not action oriente	ed at all to 5	= highly	action orie	nted					
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5			
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0			
220 221										

222	indicator 6: Early follow-up on discharge	9					
223 224 225 226	Definition : The patient should have been weeks of discharge from the facility. Conto the same care provider. This must be outside the facility if appropriate.	ntact can be	treatment	or counse	lling by or	feedback	
227 228	Excluded are patients who have been re support worker), front-line mental health						
229	Measurement method						
230 231	Numerator : The number of patients who discharge from the facility, had a face-to	_		•		3	
232 233	Denominator : The total number of patie collection period.	ents discharg	ed from t	he facility o	during the s	same data	
234							
235							
236	Your opinion:						
237	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant at a	all to 5 = high	nly releva	nt			
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•
238							
239 240	The scale runs from 1 = not action orien	ited at all to 5	s = highly	action orie	nted		
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0
241 242							
243							
244							
245							
246							
247							

248	Indicator 7: Continuity of care						
249 250 251	Definition : Transfer of the patient fr consultation between the two therap care allocation.						
252	Measurement method						
253 254	Numerator : The total number of parconsultation from one therapist to an		•		•		
255 256	Denominator : The total number of during the same data collection periods		ated at th	ne facility w	ho were tr	ansferred	
257							
258							
259	Your opinion:						
260	The scale runs from 1 = not relevan	t at all to 5 = high	ly relevai	nt			
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•
261 262 263	The scale runs from 1 = not action of	oriented at all to 5	= highly	action orie	ented		
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0
264 265 266							
267							
268							
269							
270							
271							
272							
273							
274							
275							

276	Indicator 8a: Involvement of family or significant others								
277 278 279 280	Definition : In the case of all patien start of, during and at the end of tr patient or loved ones) achieving the part of the patient's support system	eatment, or (in the is was a goal of tre	event of	objections	on the par	t of the			
281	Measurement method								
282 283 284	Numerator 1: The number of patie during the data collection period in ones during and at the end of treat	whose case there							
285 286	Denominator : The total number o clinical setting) during the same da			eated (in a	n outpatie	nt and/or			
287									
288									
289	Your opinion:								
290	The scale runs from 1 = not releva	int at all to 5 = high	ly releva	nt					
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5		
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•		
291									
292 293	The scale runs from 1 = not action	oriented at all to 5	= highly	action orie	nted				
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5		
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0		
294 295 296									
297									
298									
299									
300									
301									
302									
303									

304	indicator 8b: involvement of family	or significant otne	rs				
305 306 307 308	Definition : In the case of all patier start of, during and at the end of tre patient or loved ones) achieving the part of the patient's support system	eatment, or (in the is was a goal of tre	event of	objections	on the par	t of the	
309	Measurement method						
310 311 312	Numerator 2: The number of patie during the data collection period in patient's electronic medical record	whose case a cur					
313 314	Denominator : The total number of clinical setting) during the same date			eated (in a	n outpatie	nt and/or	
315							
316							
317	Your opinion:						
318	The scale runs from 1 = not releva	nt at all to 5 = high	ly releva	nt			
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•
319 320	The goale rups from 1 – not action	oriented at all to E	– highly	action orio	ntod		
321	The scale runs from 1 = not action	Do not	= nigniy				
		know	1	2	3	4	5
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0
322 323 324							
325							
326							
327							
328							
329							
330							

331	Indicator 9: Structural diagnosis								
332 333 334 335 336	Definition : A structural diagnosis is a compossible of a patient's suicidal state diagnosis. It should include at least the behaviour, perpetuating, protective and regards suicide.	uring the p e nature, i	ast mont ntensity a	th (thought	ts, intentic	ns, plans, of suicida	l		
337	Measurement method								
338 339 340	Numerator : The total number of patients ideation and at any time during treatment structural diagnosis that meets the follow	: (in an outp							
341 342 343 344 345 346	 The structural diagnosis was reconstructurent. The current structural diagnosis is repeated attempts it has been upon the course of the illness that to see whether any factors in it has been upon the course of the illness that the see whether any factors in it has the course of the illness that the course of the co	s no more th dated during e structural	an one ye g treatmer diagnosis	ear old, an nt. s has been	d in the ca	se of			
347 348	Denominator : The total number of suicid an outpatient and/or clinical setting) at an								
349	* i.e. patients with suicidal thoughts and/or behaviour.								
350									
351									
352	Your opinion:								
353	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant at all to 5 = highly relevant								
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5		
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•		
354 355									
356	The scale runs from 1 = not action oriente	ed at all to 5	s = highly	action orie	nted				
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5		
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0		
357 358 359									

361	Indicator 10: Evidence-based medica	tion					
362 363 364 365	Definition : Drug treatment for patient ideation as a syndrome. The drug treatment and aims to prevent suicidal the rational and applied in line with the gu	atment is desigr houghts and sui	ned to red cide attei	duce suicid	lal ideation drug treatn	in the	
366	Measurement method						
367 368 369	Numerator : The number of patients validical setting) who had suicidal idea received evidence-based drug treatm	tion as a syndro	me of the	e psychiatr	ic disorder		
370 371 372	Denominator : The total number of parand/or clinical setting) who had suicid during the same data collection period	lal ideation as a					
373							
374							
375	Your opinion:						
376	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant	at all to 5 = high	lly releva	nt			
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•
377 378 379	The scale runs from 1 = not action or	iented at all to 5	= highly	action orie	ented		
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0
380 381 382							
383							
384							
385							
386							
387							
388							
389							

390	indicator 11: Evidence-based psychotherapy							
391 392 393 394 395 396	Definition : Psychotherapy for a depressive disorder, in which the patient has suicidal ideation as a syndrome of the psychiatric disorder, designed to directly influence the severity of the suicidal behaviour and reduce it. The treatment includes promoting both safety and the working relationship, treating psychiatric dysregulation, determining the correct setting for treatment and promoting continuity of care. The psychotherapy is applied in line with the guidelines* or based on other considerations.							
397	Measurement method							
398 399 400	Numerator : The number of patients with a depressive disorder (in an outpatient and/or clinical setting) who had suicidal ideation as a syndrome of the psychiatric disorder and received evidence-based psychotherapy during the data collection period.							
401 402 403	Denominator : The total number of patients with a depressive disorder (in an outpatient and/or clinical setting) who had suicidal ideation as a syndrome of the psychiatric disorder during the same data collection period.							
404								
405	Your opinion:							
406	The scale runs from 1 = not relevant at all to 5 = highly relevant							
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5	
	Relevance	0	0	0	0	0	•	
407 408 409	The scale runs from 1 = not action oriented at all to 5 = highly action oriented							
		Do not know	1	2	3	4	5	
	Action orientation	0	0	0	0	0	0	
410 411								
412								
413								
414								
415								
416								

.18 .19	Of the eleven indicators that you have rated, p most important.	lease list the top three that you consider to be
20 21 22	Number 1 (most important)	
23		
.24 .25	Number 2	
26 27		
.28 .29	Number 3	
30		
31 32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54 		
55 56		
57		